1	TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
4	RENE MONTANEZ, et al.,)
5	Plaintiffs,)
6	vs.) No. 08 C 1533
7	J. OCAMPO, Officer, in his individual) capacity, et al.,) Chicago, Illinois
8) January 15, 2009 Defendants.) 9:56 A.M.
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Telephonic Status
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL T. MASON, Magistrate Judge
11	APPEARANCES:
12	For the Plaintiffs: HORWITZ, RICHARDSON & BAKER, LLC 20 South Clark Street
13	Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois 60603
14	BY: MR. SEAN M. BAKER
15	For the Defendants: CITY OF CHICAGO, LAW DEPARTMENT FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS
16	LITIGATION DIVISION 30 North LaSalle Street
17	Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60602
18	BY: MS. TIFFANY YVETTE HARRIS
19	
20	
21	PAMELA S. WARREN, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter
22	219 South Dearborn Street Room 1928
23	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 294-8907
24	
25	NOTE: Please notify of correct speaker identification.

```
(Telephonic proceedings held in open court:)
1
2
             THE CLERK:
                         08 C 1533, Montanez versus Ocampo.
3
             THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and put your names on the
4
    record again, please.
             MR. BAKER: Again for plaintiffs, this is Sean Baker.
5
6
             THE COURT: Uh-huh.
7
             MS. HARRIS: Tiffany Harris on behalf of the defendant
    officers. That's H-a-r-r-i-s.
8
9
             THE COURT: Thank you.
10
             And, Mr. Baker, you called chambers after you left
11
           I quess you forgot to --
    here.
12
             MR. BAKER: I did, your Honor. My brain did not thaw
13
    out while I was in front of the bench, so I apologize.
14
             THE COURT: That happens. That happens.
15
             MR. BAKER: We have noticed up the deposition of the
    defendant officers as videotaped depositions. In order to
16
17
    avoid motions on this -- because depositions went Tuesday and
    yesterday --
18
19
             THE COURT: Uh-huh.
             MR. BAKER: -- I was going to bring this up in court
20
21
    when I was there this morning just to see if we could get a
22
    decision one way or the other as to whether or not we could
23
    proceed with videotaped depositions of the officers.
24
             MS. HARRIS: And, your Honor, the defendant officers
25
    are objecting to this request by the plaintiff. We are
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerned for the security of the officers while the officers are involved in gang team missions and undercover operations, and we cannot have them being photographed or videotaped in any way, shape or fashion.

THE COURT: When you noticed this up -- when did you notice these depositions up?

MR. BAKER: We had initially noticed them up as regular depositions. Then on Tuesday I sent out notices of videotaped deposition for all officers from that point forward.

Ms. Harris mentioned to me that she would object. I proceeded on Wednesday's deposition, you know, as a regular dep so that we wouldn't have to come in and motion in front of the Court. And I was hoping to address that, obviously, this morning.

THE COURT: So you gave them a day's notice is what it amounts to.

MR. BAKER: For one. For the one deposition, you know, that would be a day's notice. But then obviously we had depositions today, and there may be a dep tomorrow. I know we have some in the following weeks, and we had wanted to proceed with videotape of those. And, also, there is a protective order in this case.

> Tell us about that. THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BAKER: Well, the protective order I believe would

cover these depositions. And obviously we're not going to disseminate it to anybody other than for purposes in court.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. For purposes of the trial?

MR. BAKER: Correct. I mean, obviously, it is always helpful when, you know, I ask an officer a question, and you can see the demeanor from the videotape as to how they respond. Doesn't necessarily come off that way just from the print on the page.

MS. HARRIS: Well, your Honor, our response to that would be if we proceed to trial in this matter, he clearly would have an opportunity to cross examine the officers in court, and the jury will be able to observe their demeanor.

There is issues concerning the impeachment with the record. If he is concerned about his client identifying the officers, he could certainly bring his clients to the deposition.

THE COURT: What's your concern, Mr. Baker?

MR. BAKER: Well, you know, we don't have a (unintelligible) because I believe that I noticed up properly under the rules, and the rules permit me to take depositions this way. And, you know, while it might be an officer to be observed at trial, it is not the same as when he's answering the type of thorough questioning I put him through in my depositions. And, you know, whether they are going to take a pause or they look over to their attorney before they answer or

```
1
    if they -- you know, if -- the pausing and maybe the tenor of
2
    the voice and the answer, so that's why I want to have it
3
    videotaped. It is a very useful tool at that point.
4
             THE COURT: And do you want this to be retroactive
5
    where you can go back and redepose --
6
             MR. BAKER: No, I'm not going to redepose anybody.
7
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. BAKER: I don't play games that way.
8
9
             THE COURT: All right.
10
             MR. BAKER: You know, I'm satisfied with what I have
11
    done.
12
             But from this point forward, you know, from today's
13
    dep forward, I would like to be able to videotape these
    officers.
14
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MS. HARRIS: Well, your Honor, if he is satisfied with
17
    what's he has done thus far, why can't he have them
    (unintelligible) is not obvious to me on behalf of the
18
19
    (unintelligible) --
20
             THE COURT: He's.
21
             MS. HARRIS: -- depositions on the videotape.
22
             THE COURT: He has given you enough notice on these
23
             And I'm going to go ahead and allow him to videotape
24
    these others with the limit on here that they can only be used
25
    for purposes of trial.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, and (unintelligible) that I am being difficult; however, you know, we really do have some concerns for the security issues of the officers. And we will be concerned because apparently this law firm has started to do this, the trend of noticing up videotaped depositions of officers.

Is it possible that we can brief this issue? Because I would just hate for this to be kind of a trend. And our officers really are concerned that somehow this information can fall into the wrong hands.

THE COURT: If you look at the federal rules, and in particular here Rule 30 -- this is the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 30(b)(3)(A), method stated in the notice. party who notices the deposition must state in the notice the method for recording the testimony. Unless the Court orders otherwise, testimony may be recorded by audio, audiovisual or stenographic means. The noticing party bears the court -- or the recording cost. Any party may arrange to transcribe a deposition.

That will be my order. But --

MS. HARRIS: Well, your Honor, we also have --

THE COURT: Wait a second, counsel.

MS. HARRIS: -- (unintelligible.)

THE COURT: Wait a second, counsel. Let me finish.

MS. HARRIS: Oh, I apologize.

```
1
             THE COURT: You're to limit this to -- for use at
2
    trial only.
3
             Do you understand that, Mr. Baker?
4
             MR. BAKER: Yes, your Honor.
5
             THE COURT: All right.
6
             MR. BAKER: You know, my reputation in court and my
7
    license and all, I'm not going to play games with this.
             THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
                                That's fine.
8
9
             MR. BAKER: So the only reason I'm doing this is so
    that, you know, if and when we have to get (unintelligible) to
10
11
    go to trial, have this as a tool to present to the jury.
12
             THE COURT: That's fine.
13
             Ms. Harris, I'll let you finish your thought here.
             MS. HARRIS: Thank you, your Honor.
14
15
             I, just for the purposes of making sure that the
    videotape is properly maintained until the purpose of trial, I
16
17
    would like to have it attorneys's eyes only, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Yeah, that's -- I have no problem with
18
19
    that.
20
             MS. HARRIS: Okay.
21
             MR. BAKER: For instance, your Honor, an expert would
22
    have to review it. I think the expert would only have to
    review a transcript anyway. I don't think --
23
24
             THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think they would have to.
25
    And if it becomes a problem, motion it up.
```

```
1
             MR. BAKER: Great.
2
             THE COURT: All right?
3
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, with respect to today's
4
    deposition, are -- is that going to be videotaped or -- because
    I did not receive notice until approximately 5:00 o'clock on
5
6
    Tuesday.
7
             THE COURT: No, you got enough notice on that.
             MS. HARRIS: Okay.
8
9
             THE COURT: All right?
10
             MS. HARRIS. Thank you, your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Anything else?
12
             MR. BAKER:
                         That's it, your Honor. I greatly
13
    appreciate it. And I apologize for having to do it this way --
             THE COURT: All right.
14
             MR. BAKER: -- (unintelligible) taking your time up.
15
16
             THE COURT: Sure. Thank you.
17
             MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
             MR. BAKER: Thank you.
18
19
             THE COURT:
                         Bye.
             (Which concluded the proceedings in the above-entitled
20
    matter.)
21
                              CERTIFICATE
22
             I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, correct
    and complete transcript of the proceedings had at the hearing
    of the aforementioned cause on the day and date hereof.
23
    /s/Pamela S. Warren
                                           April 8, 2010
    Official Court Reporter
                                                Date
24
    United States District Court
    Northern District of Illinois
25
    Eastern Division
```